

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/365,342	07/30/1999	ELIZABETH G. HETZLER	G-305	1665
759	90 09/17/2002			
PAUL W ZIMMERMAN K1 53 BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE P O BOX 999			EXAMINER	
			HAVAN, THU THAO	
RICHLAND, WA 99352			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Thu-Thao Havan  Thu-Thaower, may a reply be timby the timple the form the himbadower and the form the himbadow	Office Action Summary		09/365,342	HETZLER ET AL.			
- The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In or overt, however, may a reply be timely lifed attent 9.13 (c) MCM**THE from the maining date of the communication.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In or overt, however, may a reply be timely lifed attent 9.13 (c) MCM**THE from the maining date of this communication.  Final way to the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of the prov			Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.18(b). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed  Extensions of the provision in a side or maximum statutory period will apply and vall expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vall expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(a).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on amendment on 6/25/02.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-11,13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 5. 2-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 3-4, 6.12-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 3-4, 6.12-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  11 □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is is an expired provision of the provi			Thu-Thao Havan	2672			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exteriors of time map be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.78(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the second of time map be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.78(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the considered timely.  If the period for reply separation deven, the maximum of 17 CPR 1.78(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled to reply separation of the reply separation of the communication.  Fallule to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SE U.S.C. § 133).  This action is FINAL.  2 b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-11.13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) 1-16.13-17.20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-16.13-17.20-22 and 25 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 5.7-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 5.7-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The order of claims and of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT R			pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Esteriors of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In co event, however, may a reply be limely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 100 cm yet period and the communication of claims  4) Scientification of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-1.13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-1.13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  5) Claim(s) 1-1.13-22 and 25 is/are objected to.  6) Claim(s) 5-1.11 and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 5-1.11 and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 5-1.11 and 18-19 is/are objected to.  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are. a) communication of the communication.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are. a) communication of the promity documents have been rec							
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on amendment on 6/26/02.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  1-11,13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  8)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  8)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected to.  8)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  8)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  8)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected to.  8)  Claim(s)  1-46,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected on by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The proposed drawing or recipiton to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is/are is/approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * C)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  in Application Form the International Bureau (PCT Rul 1-7.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.	THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-11,13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-6,13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 5, 7-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.		Perpansive to communication(s) filed on am	endment on 6/26/02				
3			***************************************	•			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	•	<del>, _</del>		resecution as to the morits is			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-11,13-22 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-4.6.13-17,20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 5, 7-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-82)	3)		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Disposit 	ion of Claims					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  accepted or b  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a  approved b  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b  Some * c⟩ None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	<b>4</b> ) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-22 and 25</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
6) Claim(s) 1-4.6.13-17.20-22 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 5.7-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Tying Claim(s) 5. 7-11, and 18-19 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,13-17,20-22 and 25</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	7) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>5, 7-11, and 18-19</u> is/are objected to	).				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)	,					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	/		ts have been received				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		_	• •				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	* (	application from the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			• •				
	Attachmen	t(s)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal				

**Art Unit: 2672** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Claims 1-11, 13-22, and 25 are pending in the present application.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 26, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As addressed below, Prakriya et al., Eick et al., and prior art teach the claimed limitations.

- A.) The "prior art" is page 4, lines 10-12 of the present invention. Please look in the specification particularly in the section title "background of the present invention."
- B.) Eick teaches a plurality of strands wherein each of said plurality of strands corresponds to each of a plurality of relationships (col. 2, lines 38-67; col. 7, lines 5-22; fig. 3-4 and 6). Eick depicts graphical display of relationships. He teaches the surface in figure 3 by disclosing points between two entities of a caller and callee (col. 1, lines 16-25). In figure 3, the relationship is established between the caller and callee. Furthermore, in figure 3, Eick teaches groups of nodes that have the most significant relationships appear near the center of the display (col. 2, lines 38-53). Doing so would enable relationship between nodes distinguishable at a glance.
- C.) Eick teaches plurality of strands passes out of said surface on one side of said surface and another of said plurality of strands passes out of said surface on an opposite side of said surface. In figure 3 of Eick, the "surface" as claimed is represented by the dot(s) (309), and the "extensions passing out of the surface" are

Art Unit: 2672

represented by the connection links (307) (note that some dots have "fanned-out" connection links). Furthermore, "fanned-out" connection links on some of the dots are representative of a "plurality of strands passes out of said surface... and another of said plurality of strands passes out of said surface on an opposite side of said surface" as recited in claim 2.

- D.) Applicant's specification (page 6, lines 28-30) discloses that the texture of the strands as claimed can be one of line type (e.g., solid line or broken line). Thus, the texture of the strands reads on the connection of information as represented by broken lines (fig. 3) or by solid lines (fig. 6b) of Prakriya.
- E.) As Applicant stated in the amendment (page 8, lines 11-15), Eick teaches an aggregate strand. Claim 22 is claiming either a single strand, aggregate strand, or multitextured strands. Therefore either/or is only requiring one type of strand to meet the limitation. Thus, claim 22 stands rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6, 13-17, 20-22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prakriya et al. (US patent no. 6,154,220) in view of Eick et al. (US

Art Unit: 2672

patent no. 5,835,085) and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art (page 4, lines 10-12, hereinafter, "prior art").

Re claim 1, the prior art Prakriya teaches a method of visualizing a relationship between at least two entities (col. 1, lines 21-33 and fig. 3-4--two entities are the different items of database records), having the steps of:

- (a) mapping the at least two entities onto a surface (col. 1, lines 21-33 and lines 63-66; fig. 3-4); Prakriya teaches the two entities are database records such as record A and database record B which are visually represents on a layout surface (col. 1, lines 21-33 and lines 63-66; col. 2, lines 11-13; fig. 3-4);
- (b) providing a relationship record for each of the at least two entities (col. 6, lines 36-62; fig. 3-4); Prakriya teaches a relationship between records A to H in figure 3-4;
- (c) generating a display of the at least two entities together with at least one connector between the at least two entities for said visualizing said relationship from said relationship record (col. 2, lines 13-22); Prakriya teaches the rectilinear layout system routes connectors among the nodes to represent the relationship between the nodes (col. 2, lines 13-16); and
- (d) said connector having two ends connected to a pair of said at least two entities, said connector having an extension between said two ends (col. 6, lines 29-47; col. 9, lines 2-24; fig. 3 and 7B); In figure 3, Prakriya teaches the connectors 312 to 319 extend between the different records. Furthermore, figure 7B teaches record A having connectors 312, 313, 314, 315, and 317 extending to each of different records (i.e. relationships).

Art Unit: 2672

Prakriya *fails* to explicitly recite as claimed a plurality of strands wherein each of said plurality of strands corresponds to each of a plurality of relationships. Eick, on the other hand, specifically discloses a plurality of strands wherein each of said plurality of strands corresponds to each of a plurality of relationships (col. 2, lines 38-67; col. 7, lines 5-22; fig. 3-4 and 6). Eick teaches the surface in figure 3 by disclosing points between two entities of a caller and callee (col. 1, lines 16-25). In figure 3, the relationship is established between the caller and callee. Furthermore, in figure 3, Eick teaches groups of nodes that have the most significant relationships appear near the center of the display (col. 2, lines 38-53).

Therefore, having the combined teaching of Prakriya and Eick as a whole, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to visually disclose relationships between nodes which corresponds to each of a plurality of relationships of Eick to have a plurality of strands wherein each of said plurality of strands corresponds to each of a plurality of relationships as claimed. Doing so would enable relationship between nodes distinguishable at a glance.

The prior art Prakriya and Eick teach substantially the same as recited in claim 1, but fails to specifically disclose "extension passing out of surface" as claimed. However, applicant's admitted prior art (page 4, lines 10-12) indicates that it's well known to have the connector having an extension between two ends, the extension passing out of the surface to improve the visualization of connection.

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Prakriya, Eick and applicant's admitted prior art as a whole, it would have been obvious to combine extension passing

Art Unit: 2672

out of surface as claimed to the modified system of Prakriya in view of Eick. Doing so would have improved visualization of relationships between at least the two entities.

Re claim **2**, Eick teaches at least one of said plurality of strands passes out of said surface on one side of said surface and another of said plurality of strands passes out of said surface on an opposite side of said surface (<u>fig. 3</u>); In figure 3, Eick disclose the plurality of strands on both sides of the surface.

Re claim 3, Eick teaches each strand is distinguished from other strand(s) by a geometric gap therebetween (col. 12, lines 25-57); Eick teaches a layout algorithm that distinguished the gaps between nodes.

Re claim 4, Prakriya teaches each strand has an arc height (col. 8, lines 25-47). Prakriya discloses the layout surface arc for the nodes.

Re claim **6**, Prakriya teaches each strand is further distinguished with a texture (<u>fig. 3-4</u>). In figure 3, Prakriya discloses records A to H, which contains data or information or texture at each strand of record.

Re claim **13**, Eick teaches plurality of strands is displayed as said plurality of strands (col. 4, lines 29-48; fig. 3 and 6); Eick teaches in figure 3 and 6 depending on the zooming control panel that the user manipulates, the plurality of stands become plurality of strand when the user zoom in closer to the focus point or the center of the display.

Re claim **14**, Prakriya teaches at least two entities are clusters of members, said clusters having centroids, and said connector connects said centroids (col. 9, lines 2-24; col. 19, lines 11-34; fig. 7B). Prakriya teaches the centroid is the focus node in this

Art Unit: 2672

case node A, which is the center of the nodes (col. 19, lines 11-34). Prakriya discloses in figure 7B record A have connectors between multiple entities (i.e. record A to H).

Re claim **15**, Prakriya teaches substrands extend from each of said members to said connector connecting said centroids (<u>col. 9</u>, <u>lines 2-24</u>; <u>col. 19</u>, <u>lines 11-34</u>; <u>fig. 7B</u>). In figure 7B, Prakriya discloses substrands such as Record D and Record E have connector that connecting to Record A which is the centroid.

Re claim **16**, Prakriya teaches positioning said display (<u>col. 1</u>, <u>lines 63-67; col. 2</u>, <u>lines 1-22 and lines 37-44; fig. 7B</u>). Prakriya discloses the rectilinear layout system positioning the nodes so that the focus node is at the center of the layout surface (<u>col. 2</u>, <u>lines 37-44</u>).

Re claim **17**, Eick teaches positioning is selected from the group consisting of rotate, pan, zoom and combinations thereof (<u>col. 4</u>, <u>lines 29-64</u>; <u>fig. 6</u>). In figure 6, Eick discloses the user can manipulate the positioning of the graphs by zooming or rearrange the graph so that nodes with significant relationships are grouped together (<u>col. 4</u>, <u>lines 49-64</u>).

Re claim **20**, Eick teaches a user action may cause the display of all relationships corresponding to a given relationship type (<u>col. 4</u>, <u>lines 29-64</u>; <u>fig. 6</u>). Eick discloses the user selects a variety of computations, which rearrange the graph so that nodes with significant relationships are grouped together.

Re claim **21**, Prakriay teaches a directionality of a relationship is indicated by line type (<u>fig. 7B</u>). In figure 7B, the connector displays the relationship between Record A and Record B to H.

Art Unit: 2672

Re claim **22**, Eick teaches a user action may cause the display of either a single strand, aggregate strand, or multitextured strands (<u>fig. 3 and 6</u>). In figure 6, Eick discloses a user zooming in and out display aggregate or single strand.

Re claim **25**, Prakriya teaches mapping is by two-way document/topic iteration logic (col. 14, lines 58-67; col. 19, lines 5-19). Prakriya teaches the iteration logic by the pickfocusnode module (col. 14, lines 58-67). Furthermore, a rectilinear layout system iterates through information and defining its relationship (col. 19, lines 1-11).

## **Claim Objections**

Claims **5**, **7-11**, **and 18-19** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Re claim 5, the prior art fails to anticipate or rendered obvious the claimed features of a missing strand is observed as a greater gap between remaining strands.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or rendered obvious the technical features of claims **7-11**. The prior art fails to teach or suggest texture is selected from the group consisting of line type, line weight, color, display frequency, and combination thereof as recited in the claim.

Re claims **18-19**, the prior art fails to anticipate or rendered obvious the claimed features of strands shown on one side of the surface indicate values exceed an upper threshold of a test and the strands on the other side of the surface indicate values lower than a low threshold for said test.

Art Unit: 2672

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2672

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan

September 10, 2002

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600